

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 - Overview of the CEQA Process

This Recirculated Draft Environmental Impact Report (R-DEIR) is prepared in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) to evaluate the potential environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the Tassajara Parks Project (State Clearinghouse No. 2014052089). This document is prepared in conformance with CEQA (California Public Resources Code, Section 21000, et seq.) and the CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15000, et seq.). This R-DEIR is intended to serve as an informational document for the public agency decision makers and the public regarding the Project.

1.1.1 - Overview

The Tassajara Parks Project (Project) encompasses approximately 771 acres on two sites located in the Tassajara Valley area of unincorporated in Contra Costa County (Project Site). The Project Site is located east of the City of San Ramon and the Town of Danville and adjacent to and outside of the Contra Costa County Urban Limit Line (ULL). The Project Site consists of two areas: an approximately 155-acre Northern Site and an approximately 616-acre Southern Site. The Northern and Southern Sites are located less than 0.5 mile apart and separated by Camino Tassajara and undeveloped land.

The Project would consist of 125 single-family residences on a semi-flat, 30-acre portion of the Northern Site. In addition, two trail staging areas and related trail heads, a future pedestrian/equestrian trail, detention basin, sewer pump station, grading, various frontage improvements to Camino Tassajara, and minor modifications to portions of a parking lot for the adjacent Tassajara Hills Elementary School (to help remedy existing school parking and circulation deficiencies) would occur. The entire Northern Site would be annexed into an existing Geologic Hazard Abatement District (GHAD) for the purpose of appropriately addressing geological hazards. Approximately 101 acres of the Northern Site (known as the Northern Preservation Area) would be subject to deed restrictions that would prohibit any future urban uses to be developed outside of the Residential Development Area.

On the 616-acre Southern Site, 7 acres may be contingently offered for dedication for potential future use by the San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District (SRVFPD). The remaining 609 acres would be permanently protected and preserved for nonurban uses such as parks, recreation, open space, agriculture, grazing, scenic, wetland preservation and creation, and habitat mitigation. Section 2, Project Description provides a complete description of the Project.

1.1.2 - Project Background

In February 2010, the applicant submitted a development application to the County for the New Farm Project, which proposed to subdivide and develop the Project Site with 187 residential units and other mixed uses. Of the 187 dwelling units, 43 units were proposed on the Northern Site and 142 units were proposed on the Southern Site. The proposal also included extensive olive orchards, a church, a cemetery, and a comprehensive fire training facility. The application materials included a

request for a General Plan Amendment, Rezoning, Vesting Tentative Map and a Preliminary and Final Development Plan. An EIR was initiated for the New Farm Project but that development proposal was withdrawn before the EIR was completed and circulated for public review.

In February 2013, the applicant submitted a revised application for the Project with a significantly different development proposal, known as the “Tassajara Parks Project.” This submittal included a slightly greater number of units for the Northern Site (152 units), which was subsequently reduced to the currently proposed 125 units in a revised submittal dated February 2014. The submittal application includes a revised General Plan Amendment (County File No. GP07-0009), Rezoning (RZ09-3214), Vesting Tentative Map (SD10-9280), and Preliminary and Final Development Plan (DP10-3008) applications for the Tassajara Parks Project.¹ A Draft EIR was prepared to analyze the potential environmental impacts of the revised proposal and circulated for public review between May 12, 2016 and June 27, 2016. During the 45-day public review period, the County Zoning Administrator held a public hearing to provide an opportunity for public comment on the Draft EIR and written comments were also solicited from public agencies and the public at large. Subsequent to the public notice of availability of the Draft EIR for the Tassajara Parks Project; however, new information arose about the availability of recycled water for expanded use after EBMUD provided factual information about where recycled water use could be expanded. Accordingly, based on that new information, the Project has eliminated the recycled water option and developed an Off-site Water Conservation option to replace it. This constitutes significant new information and, pursuant to CEQA Section 15088.5, a decision has been made to recirculate the Draft EIR in order to allow interested parties and members of the public a meaningful opportunity to comment on this new information.

The R-DEIR evaluates the revised proposal of 125 units submitted to the County in February 2014, on the basis of the new information regarding water supply options for the Project. Additionally, the R-DEIR has been updated to find a significant and unavoidable impact with respect to conflicts with the GHG Reduction Goal of BAAQMD’s Clean Air Plan, given that the Project would not achieve the per capita annual GHG emissions threshold of 4.6 MTCO₂e/SP/yr established by BAAQMD even after the application of all feasible mitigation measures. Further, for purposes of clarity, information about a Memorandum of Understanding relating to the agricultural preservation and enhancement of the broader Tassajara Valley has been included in the R-DEIR, and the County has also taken the opportunity to amplify and clarify information in the Draft EIR related to aesthetics, agricultural resources, air quality, biological resources, geology and soils, hazardous materials, land use, noise, public services, and transportation.

1.1.3 - Purpose and Authority

This R-DEIR provides a project-level analysis of the environmental effects of the Tassajara Parks Project.² The environmental impacts of the Project are analyzed in this R-DEIR to the degree of specificity appropriate, in accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15146. This document addresses the potentially significant adverse environmental impacts that may be associated with the construction and operation of the Project. It also identifies feasible mitigation measures and evaluates a reasonable range of alternatives that may be adopted to significantly reduce or avoid these impacts.

¹ Note that for ease of reference, the County is using the same application file numbers as those of the previous New Farm Project.

² In addition, see below for discussion of environmental Review conducted in connection with the MOU.

CEQA requires that an EIR contain, at a minimum, certain specific elements. These elements are contained in this R-DEIR and include:

- Table of Contents
- Introduction
- Executive Summary
- Project Description
- Environmental Setting, Significant Environmental Impacts, and Mitigation Measures
- Cumulative Impacts
- Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts
- Alternatives to the Project
- Growth-Inducing Impacts
- Effects Found not to be Significant
- Areas of Known Controversy

1.1.4 - Lead Agency Determination

Contra Costa County Department of Conservation and Development (DCD) is designated as the lead agency for the Project. CEQA Guidelines Section 15367 defines the lead agency as “. . . the public agency, which has the principal responsibility for carrying out or approving a project.” Other public agencies may use this R-DEIR in the decision-making or permit process and consider the information in this R-DEIR along with other information that may be presented during the CEQA process.

This R-DEIR was prepared by FirstCarbon Solutions, an environmental consultant retained by the DCD. Prior to public review, it was extensively reviewed and evaluated by DCD. This R-DEIR reflects the independent judgment and analysis of DCD as required by CEQA. Lists of organizations and persons consulted and the report preparation personnel are provided in Section 8 of this R-DEIR.

1.2 - Scope of the EIR

This R-DEIR addresses the potential environmental effects of the Project. The DCD issued a Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the Project on May 28, 2014 and a Revised NOP on June 11, 2014, which circulated between June 11 and July 11, 2014 for the statutory 30-day public review period. Further, as described above, circulated for a 45-day public review period between May 12, 2016 and June 27, 2016. The scope of this Draft EIR includes the potential environmental impacts identified in the NOP and issues raised by agencies and the public in response to the original and Revised NOP as well as to the Draft EIR. The original and Revised NOPs are contained in Appendix A of this R-DEIR.

Comment letters were received in response to the original NOP, the Revised NOP and the Draft EIR. In addition, comment letters were received in response to the County’s request for preliminary comments on the Project, before and after the NOP comment period. Both the NOP and Revised NOP comment letters, comment letters received as preliminary comments and comment letters on the Draft EIR have been included herein for consideration as shown in Table 1-1 and Table 1-2. The letters are listed in Table 1-1 and provided in Appendix A of this Draft EIR. Public comments on the Project were also received at a scoping session held on July 7, 2014 as well as at a public hearing held by the County Zoning Administrator on June 6, 2016. A summary of the verbal comments received at the July 7, 2014 scoping session and June 6, 2016 public hearing is provided in Appendix A of this Draft EIR.

Table 1-1: NOP Comment Letters

Status	Affiliation	Signatory	Date	Response To:
Public Agencies	California Department of Transportation	Erik Alm	July 11, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	California Department of Transportation	Erik Alm	June 24, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Bay Area Air Quality Management District	Jean Roggenkamp	July 27, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	East Bay Municipal Utility District	William R. Kirkpatrick	July 17, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Contra Costa County Public Works Department	Rene Urbina	June 26, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Contra Costa County Flood Control & Water Conservation District	Kara Schuh-Garibay	July 10, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Contra Costa County Flood Control & Water Conservation District	Kara Schuh-Garibay	March 19, 2014	Vesting Tentative Map
	Contra Costa County Department of Conservation & Development	John Cunningham	June 26, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	City of San Ramon	Debbie Chamberlain	June 25, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	City of Pleasanton	Brian Dolan	March 21, 2014	Preliminary Request for Comments
	City of Dublin	Andrew Russell	March 25, 2014	Preliminary Request for Comments
	City of Dublin	Andrew Russell	March 26, 2013	Preliminary Request for Comments
	Dublin San Ramon Services District	Stanley Kolodzie	June 19, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, Zone 7	Elke Rank	June 26, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Central Contra Costa Sanitary District	Russell B. Leavitt	July 11, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	East Bay Regional Park District	Brian W. Holt	July 2, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Town of Danville	Tai J. Williams	September 19, 2014	Preliminary Request for Comments
	LAFCO	Lou Ann Teixeira	July 1, 2014	NOP/RNOP

Table 1-1 (cont.): NOP Comment Letters

Status	Affiliation	Signatory	Date	Response To:
Private Parties	Save Mount Diablo	Juan Pablo Galvan	July 9, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Greenbelt Alliance	Joel Devalcourt	July 11, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Private Citizen	Lori Wolfe	June 30, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Private Citizen	Grace Chen	July 12, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Private Citizen	Dorothy Burt	July 11, 2014	NOP/RNOP
	Private Citizen	Bill and Holly Newman	July 11, 2014	NOP/RNOP

Source: Contra Costa County, 2014

Table 1-2: Draft EIR Comments

#	ID	Comment Author/Speaker	Response To:
State Agencies			
1	CDFW	California Department of Fish in Wildlife	DEIR Comment Letters
Local Agencies			
2	CCLAFCO	Contra Costa Local Agency Formation Commission	DEIR Comment Letters
3	CCCSD	Central Contra Costa Sanitary District	DEIR Comment Letters
4	CCHED	Contra Costa Environmental Health Division	DEIR Comment Letters
5	DANVILLE	City of Danville	DEIR Comment Letters
6	DUBLIN	City of Dublin	DEIR Comment Letters
7	SAN RAMON	City of Ramon Services District	DEIR Comment Letters
8	DSRSD	Dublin San Ramon Services District	DEIR Comment Letters
9	EBCNPS	East Bay California Native Plant Society	DEIR Comment Letters
10	EBMUD	East Bay Municipal Utility District	DEIR Comment Letters
11	EBRPD	East Bay Regional Park District	DEIR Comment Letters
12	SRVUSD	San Ramon Valley Unified School District (Gary Black)*	DEIR Comment Letters
Organizations			
13	GREENBELT	Greenbelt Alliance	DEIR Comment Letters
14	MSC	Mustang Soccer Club	DEIR Comment Letters
15	SMD-1	Save Mt. Diablo*	DEIR Comment Letters
16	SMD-2	Save Mt. Diablo 2	DEIR Comment Letters
17	WINDEMERE	Windemere BLC Land Company (Cox Castle Nicholson)	DEIR Comment Letters

Table 1-2 (cont.): Draft EIR Comments

#	ID	Comment Author/Speaker	Response To:
Individuals			
18	BETTENCOURT	Charles Bettencourt	DEIR Comment Letters
19	BURT-1	Dorothy Burt 1	DEIR Comment Letters
20	BURT-2	Dorothy Burt 2*	DEIR Comment Letters
21	CHRISTENSEN	John C. Christensen	DEIR Comment Letters
22	DORMANDY	Julie and Ray Dormandy	DEIR Comment Letters
23	FISHER-1	Richard Fisher 1*	DEIR Comment Letters
24	FISHER-2	Richard Fisher 2	DEIR Comment Letters
25	HOWLAND-1	Terry Howland	DEIR Comment Letters
26	HOWLAND-2	Terry Howland	DEIR Comment Letters
27	KAO	Li Fun Kao	DEIR Comment Letters
28	LACY	Douglas Lacy and Cindy Silvani-Lacy	DEIR Comment Letters
29	LOWHURST	Steven Lowhurst	DEIR Comment Letters
30	LOGUE	Gretchen Logue	DEIR Comment Letters
31	NAHLIK	Nahlik	DEIR Comment Letters
32	NEWMAN-1	Holly Newman 1	DEIR Comment Letters
33	NEWMAN-2	Holly Newman 2*	DEIR Comment Letters
34	RASMUSSEN	Gordon and Karen Rasmussen	DEIR Comment Letters
35	ROSENBERG	Neil Rosenberg	DEIR Comment Letters
36	SOULE	Jim and Cathy Soule'	DEIR Comment Letters
37	SQUIRES	Frank Squires	DEIR Comment Letters
38	SZABO	Lloyd Szabo	DEIR Comment Letters
39	WALLEY	Matthew Walley	DEIR Comment Letters
40	WIEDEMANN C	Christian Wiedemann	DEIR Comment Letters
41	WIEDEMANN S	Sandee Wiedemann	DEIR Comment Letters
42	WOLFE	Gary and Kathleen Wolfe	DEIR Comment Letters
43	ZHANG	Zheng Zhang	DEIR Comment Letters

1.2.1 - Potentially Significant Environmental Issues

The Revised NOP noted that the following topical areas may contain potentially significant environmental issues that will require further analysis in the R-DEIR. These sections are as follows:

- Aesthetics, Light and Glare
- Agricultural Resources
- Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources
- Geology, Soils and Seismicity
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials
- Hydrology and Water Quality
- Land Use, Population, and Housing
- Noise
- Public Services and Recreation
- Transportation and Traffic
- Utilities and Service Systems

1.2.2 - Environmental Issues Determined Not To Be Significant

A single topical area, Mineral Resources, was determined not to be significant given the nature of the Project Site. In addition, certain subjects within the various topical areas listed above were determined not to be significant and were included in Section 7, Effects Found not to be Significant:

- State Scenic Highways (Section 3.1, Aesthetics, Light, and Glare)
- Forest Zoning (Section 3.2, Agricultural Resources)
- Conversion of Forest Land (Section 3.2, Agricultural Resources)
- Septic or Alternative Wastewater Disposal Systems (Section 3.6, Geology, Soils, and Seismicity)
- Airports (Section 3.7, Hazards and Hazardous Materials)
- Private Airstrips (Section 3.7, Hazards and Hazardous Materials)
- Emergency Response or Evacuation Plan (Section 3.7, Hazards and Hazardous Materials)
- Housing within Flood Hazard Areas (Section 3.8, Hydrology and Water Quality)
- Levee or Dam Failure (Section 3.8, Hydrology and Water Quality)
- Seiches, Tsunamis, or Mudflows (Section 3.8, Hydrology and Water Quality)
- Division of an Established Community (Section 3.9, Land Use, Population, and Housing)
- Displacement of Persons or Housing (Section 3.9, Land Use, Population, and Housing)
- Aviation Noise (Section 3.10, Noise)
- Traffic Air Patterns (Section 3.12, Transportation)

1.3 - Organization of the EIR

This R-DEIR is organized into the following main sections:

- **Section ES: Executive Summary.** This section includes a summary of the Project and alternatives to be addressed in the R-DEIR. A brief description of the areas of known controversy, and an overview of the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, in addition to a table that summarizes the impacts, mitigation measures, and level of significance after mitigation, are also included in this section.
- **Section 1: Introduction.** This section provides an introduction and overview describing the purpose of this R-DEIR, its scope and components, and its review and certification process.
- **Section 2: Project Description.** This section includes a detailed description of the Project, including its location, site, and Project characteristics. A discussion of the Project objectives, intended uses of the R-DEIR, responsible agencies, and approvals that are needed for the Project are also provided.

- **Section 3: Environmental Impact Analysis.** This section analyzes the potential environmental impacts of the Project. Impacts are organized into major topic areas. Each topic area includes a description of the environmental setting, methodology, significance criteria, impacts, mitigation measures, and significance after mitigation. As discussed in more detail therein, the specific environmental topics that are addressed within Section 4 are as follows:
 - **Section 3.1—Aesthetics, Light, and Glare:** Addresses the potential visual impacts of development intensification and the overall increase in illumination produced by the Project.
 - **Section 3.2—Agricultural Resources:** Addresses the potential impacts on agricultural resources on the Project Site and in surrounding areas.
 - **Section 3.3—Air Quality/Greenhouse Gas Emissions:** Addresses the potential air quality impacts associated with Project implementation, as well as consistency with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Clean Air Plan. In addition, the section evaluates Project emissions of greenhouse gases.
 - **Section 3.4—Biological Resources:** Addresses the Project’s potential impacts on habitat, vegetation, and wildlife; the potential degradation or elimination of important habitat; and impacts on listed, proposed, and candidate threatened and endangered species.
 - **Section 3.5—Cultural Resources:** Addresses known historical resources and potential archaeological and paleontological resources.
 - **Section 3.6—Geology, Soils, and Seismicity:** Addresses the potential impacts the Project may have on soils and assesses the effects of Project development in relation to geologic and seismic conditions.
 - **Section 3.7—Hazards and Hazardous Materials:** Addresses the potential for the presence of hazardous materials or conditions on the Project Site and in the Project vicinity that may have the potential to impact human health.
 - **Section 3.8—Hydrology and Water Quality:** Addresses the potential impacts of the Project on local hydrological conditions, including drainage areas, and changes in the flow rates.
 - **Section 3.9—Land Use, Population and Housing:** Addresses the potential land use impacts associated with consistency with the Contra Costa County General Plan, Contra Costa County Ordinance Code, Contra Costa and Alameda County Local Agency Formation Commission policies, East Bay Municipal Utilities District policies, as well as consistency with population and housing growth.
 - **Section 3.10—Noise:** Addresses the potential noise impacts during construction and at Project buildout from mobile and stationary sources. The section also addresses the impact of noise generation on neighboring uses.
 - **Section 3.11—Public Services and Recreation:** Addresses the potential impacts upon service providers, including fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, recreation facilities, and libraries.
 - **Section 3.12—Transportation and Traffic:** Addresses the impacts on the local and regional roadway system, public transportation, bicycle, and pedestrian access.
 - **Section 3.13—Utilities and Service Systems:** Addresses the impacts on water supply, wastewater, storm drainage, solid waste, and energy. The Project’s energy demand is discussed in Section 6.
- **Section 4: Cumulative Effects.** Addresses the cumulative impacts associated with the Project, including the impacts of past, present, and probable future projects.

- **Section 5: Alternatives to the Project.** This section compares the impacts of the Project with two land-use alternatives: the No Project Alternative and the Reduced Density Alternative. An environmentally superior alternative is identified. In addition, alternatives initially considered but rejected from further consideration are discussed.
- **Section 6: Other CEQA Considerations.** This section provides a summary of significant environmental impacts, including unavoidable and growth-inducing impacts. In addition, the Project's energy demand is discussed.
- **Section 7: Effects Found not to be Significant.** This section contains a brief summary of the environmental issues set forth in the CEQA Appendix G checklist determined not to have any significant effects as a result of the Project.
- **Section 8: Organizations and Persons Consulted/List of Preparers.** This section contains a full list of persons and organizations that were consulted during the preparation of this R-DEIR, as well as the authors who assisted in the preparation of the R-DEIR, by name and affiliation.
- **Section 9: References.** This section contains a full list of references that were used in the preparation of this R-DEIR.
- **Appendices:** This section includes all notices and other procedural documents pertinent to the R-DEIR, as well as the technical documents prepared to support the analysis.

1.4 - Documents Incorporated by Reference

As permitted by CEQA Guidelines Section 15150, this R-DEIR has referenced numerous technical studies, analyses, previously certified environmental documentation, and planning documents. Information from the documents, which have been incorporated by reference, has been briefly summarized in the appropriate section(s). The relationship between the incorporated part of the referenced document and the R-DEIR has also been described as appropriate. The CEQA Guidelines set forth three methods that may be used to incorporate data from other sources in the EIR:

- Incorporation by reference (14 Cal Code Regs 15150)
- Use of an EIR appendix (14 Cal Code Regs 15148)
- Citation to technical information (14 Cal Code Regs 15148)

The documents and other sources that have been used in the preparation of this R-DEIR are provided in the Appendices and listed in Section 9, References, of this R-DEIR. In accordance with CEQA Guidelines Section 15150(b), the General Plan and other sources used in the preparation of the R-DEIR are available for review at the address shown in Section 1.6 below

1.5 - Documents Prepared for the Project

The following technical studies and analyses were prepared in connection with the R-DEIR and the Project:

- Air Quality/Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Analysis, prepared by FirstCarbon Solutions (The analysis is wholly contained within Section 3.3, Air Quality/Greenhouse Gas; supporting data is provided in Appendix B)
- Revised Biological Resource Analysis, prepared by Monk & Associates (Appendix C.1)
- Revised Biological Resource Analysis Peer Review, prepared by FirstCarbon Solutions (Appendix C.2)
- Arborist Report, Prepared by HortScience, Inc. (Appendix C.3)
- Cultural Resource Analysis, prepared by FirstCarbon Solutions. The analysis is wholly contained within Section 3.5 Cultural Resources.
- Geotechnical Exploration (2009) and Preliminary Geotechnical Report (2015), prepared by ENGEO (Appendix E).
- Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (2009 and 2015), prepared by ENGEO (Appendix F)
- Preliminary Stormwater Management Plan, prepared by Carlson, Barbee & Gibson (Appendix G.1)
- Hydrologic Modeling of Stormwater Infrastructure, prepared by Balance Hydrologics (Appendix G.2)
- Noise Analysis, prepared by FirstCarbon Solutions. The analysis is wholly contained within Section 10, Noise; supporting data is provided in Appendix H.
- Traffic Impact Study, prepared by Kimley-Horn and Associates (Appendix I).
- Revised Water Supply Evaluation, prepared by Tully & Young (Appendix J).

1.6 - Review of the Draft EIR

Upon completion of the R-DEIR, the DCD filed an updated Notice of Completion (NOC) with the State Office of Planning and Research to begin the public review period (Public Resources Code, Section 21161). Concurrent with the NOC, this R-DEIR has been distributed to responsible and trustee agencies, other affected agencies, surrounding cities, and interested parties, as well as all parties requesting a copy of the R-DEIR in accordance with Public Resources Code 21092(b)(3). During the public review period, the R-DEIR, including the technical appendices, as well as the documents incorporated by reference (See Section 1.4 above) are available for review during regular business hours at the DCD at the address provided below:

Contra Costa County
Department of Conservation and Development
Community Development Division
30 Muir Road
Martinez, CA 94553
Hours:
Monday through Thursday: 7:30 a.m.–5:00 p.m.
Friday: 7:30 a.m.–4:00 p.m.

In addition, the R-DEIR and technical appendices are available for review at the following locations during the public review period:

Office of District II Supervisor Candace Anderson

309 Diablo Road
Danville, CA 94526

Hours:

Monday through Friday: 8:30 a.m.–4:30 p.m.

Danville Library

400 Front Street
Danville, CA 94526

Hours:

Monday through Thursday: 10 a.m.–8 p.m.

Friday and Saturday: 10 a.m.–6 p.m.

Sunday: 1-5

San Ramon Library

100 Montgomery Street
San Ramon, CA 94583

Hours (limited during remodel):

Monday: 4 p.m.–8 p.m.

Tuesday and Wednesday: 1 p.m.–5 p.m.

Thursday: 10 a.m.–2 p.m.

Friday: closed

Sat: 12 p.m.–5 p.m.

Sunday: closed

**Contra Costa County Library Dougherty
Station Branch**

17017 Bollinger Canyon Road
San Ramon, CA 94582

Hours:

Monday through Thursday: 10 a.m.–8 p.m.

Friday and Saturday: 10 a.m.–5 p.m.

Sunday: 1 p.m.–5 p.m.

Pleasant Hill Library

Contra Costa County Main Branch

1750 Oak Park Boulevard
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

Hours:

Monday: 12 p.m.–8 p.m.

Tuesday: 1 p.m.–p.m.

Wednesday and Thursday: 11 a.m.–6 p.m.

Friday and Saturday: 10 a.m.–5 p.m.

Sunday: closed

Under CEQA, when an EIR is substantially revised and the entire document is recirculated, the lead agency may require reviewers to submit new comments and need not respond to comments received during the earlier circulation period. However, in the interest of being fully responsive, the County has determined, in its discretion, that it will respond to (1) the original comments provided in connection with the Draft EIR; and (2) comments received in connection with the R-DEIR. Accordingly, commenters may, but are not required to, rely on original comment letters submitted to the County. Agencies, organizations, and interested parties have the opportunity to comment on the R-DEIR during the 45-day public review period. Written comments on this R-DEIR should be addressed to:

Mr. John Osborne, Senior Planner
Mr. Ruben Hernandez, Principal Planner
Community Development Division
Department of Conservation and Development
Contra Costa County
30 Muir Road

Martinez, CA 94553
Phone: 925.674.7793
Email:john.obome@dcd.cccounty.us;
ruben.hernandez@dcd.cccounty.us

Submittal of electronic comments in Microsoft Word or Adobe PDF format is encouraged. Upon completion of the public review period, written responses to all significant environmental issues raised will be prepared and made available for review by the commenting agencies at least 10 days prior to the public hearing before the Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors on the Project, at which the certification of the Final EIR also will be considered. Comments received and the responses to comments will be included as part of the administrative record for consideration by decision makers for the Project.